

## The Effect of Online Learning Attitudes of Sports Sciences Students on their Learning Readiness to Learn Online in the Era of the New Coronavirus Pandemic (Covid-19)

**Gülten HERGÜNER**

*Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Sakarya/Turkey  
herguner@subu.edu.tr ORCID: 0000-0002-3087-3684*

**Çetin YAMAN**

*Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Sports Sciences,, Sakarya/Turkey  
cyaman@subu.edu.tr ORCID: 0000-0002-0888-2154*

**Saime ÇAĞLAK SARI**

*Marmara University, Atatürk Faculty of Education, Department of Elementary Education, Preschool Education Department,  
İstanbul/Turkey  
scaclak@marmara.edu.tr ORCID: 0000-0002-9393-9492*

**M. Sibel YAMAN**

*Istanbul Rumeli University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, İstanbul/Turkey  
msibelyaman@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-0715-3646*

**Ahmet DÖNMEZ**

*Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Graduate Education Institute, Department of Physical Education and Sport Teaching,  
Sakarya/Turkey  
ahmet27donmez27@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0001-6754-4369*

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to examine the effect of online learning attitudes on online learning readiness of sports sciences students during the period of coronavirus pandemic (covid-19). The research was designed with the correlational survey model. The population of research consisted of sports sciences students from the faculty in seven state universities in Turkey. The sample consisted of 599 (271 female + 328 male) sports sciences students studying at the Departments of Coaching Training, Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Recreation and Sports Management, selected by the easy sampling method from these faculties and taking courses from the online learning platform. Data in the research was collected by using “Online Learning Attitude Scale”, “Online Learning Readiness Scale”, and “Personal Information Form”. The data was analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation and regression analysis. According to the research findings; There is a moderately significant positive correlation between online learning attitude and online learning readiness ( $p < .05$ ). Also, online learning attitude was found to have a significant effect on online learning readiness ( $p < .05$ ). As a result; In the field of sports sciences, the necessity of establishing a positive online attitude and creating a basis for a successful online learning readiness to provide a good online learning to the learner has been put forward.

**Keywords:** Sports sciences, Covid-19, Online learning attitude, Online learning readiness.

### INTRODUCTION

The fact that technology constantly renews itself and adapts to the conditions of the day has shown itself in the field of education as in many other fields. Education areas try to keep up with the conditions of the day by moving to new learning environments along with technological developments. One of these new learning environments is undoubtedly online learning environments.

Online learning has become a concept that is being examined day by day in the field of education and representing technology in the field of education. Online learning in the literature has also been expressed as “blended learning”, “e-learning” and “distance learning” (Isaac, Aldholay, Abdullah, and Ramayah, 2019). In this globalized and digitalized century, governments, educational institutions, and companies around the world have increasingly started to encourage online learning, and as a result, the transition from traditional face-to-face classrooms to distance and online learning has increasingly continued (Aldhafeeri and Khan, 2016). In online learning, students needed a presentation method besides being away from teachers, in other words, the traditional classroom environment (Wang, Shannon and Ross, 2013; Wilde and Hsu, 2019). In addition, in this learning, the interaction between students and teachers is mediated by technological opportunities and has a significant impact on learning outcomes (Bower, 2019; Gonzalez et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2013). Online learning has become very popular in the educational environment (Pillay, Irving and Tones, 2007). In online learning that has been studied

over the years, effective online teaching results from careful design and planning (Hodges, Moore, Lockee, Trust and Bond, 2020). Online learning is defined as a form of education carried out through a browser or applications without the need for additional software and learning resources by Horton (2000). In addition, Clark and Mayer (2016) expressed online learning as the use of digital tools to give some instructions to the other person with the internet.

Advanced technology has made learning online easier as a new mode of learning in recent years. Namely, students can access the emerging online learning environment without time and place constraints by using the internet and different online learning platforms. In addition, this learning mode provided more flexibility in learning environments as there is no time and space restriction (Hwang, Wang, & Lai, 2020). As a matter of fact, learners were able to gain experience of learning from their places through computer and the internet (Benson, 2002; Carliner, 2004; Conrad, 2002). Studies have shown that the materials and videos used in online learning make students feel like they are taking lessons in the classroom (Chen and Wu, 2015). In addition, online learning provides the opportunity to repeatedly listen to what is wanted to be learned by watching the lessons over and over again (Brecht and Ogilby, 2008). To achieve versatile online learning (Vandenhouten, Lepak, Reilly, & Berg, 2014), an online learning attitude (Daniels, Tyler, & Christie, 2000) and online learning readiness (Hukle, 2009) are required. Online learning attitude is defined as “the desire and the manner of the individual towards online learning” (Hergüner, Son, Hergüner-Son and Dönmez, 2020). Readiness in online learning is defined as “being mentally and physically prepared for some online learning experiences and actions” (Borotis and Poulymenakou, 2004).

The fact that students, who are one of the most important elements of online learning environments, have a positive or negative attitude towards these learning environments has a great effect on learning (Alomyan & Au, 2004). In studies conducted to examine the effect of attitude on student behaviors, it was concluded that opposing feelings, interests, and thoughts related to a subject affect the behaviors that are or will be exhibited (Pierce, Stacey and Barkatsas, 2007). As a matter of fact, positive attitudes allow students to exhibit positive behaviors towards a lesson and make more effort to learn (Kara, 2010). In addition, a positive attitude towards learning allows students to be diligent towards learning the knowledge and skills that are useful to them in life, and ultimately to be ready in terms of behavioral, emotional, and psychomotor aspects required in the lesson (Tsai and Kuo, 2008; Scheiter and Gerjets, 2007; Yang and Lau, 2003; Merisuo-Storm, 2007; Yudko, Hirakawa and Chi, 2007). In short, students' attitudes should be taken into account in online learning platforms (Daniels et al., 2000).

Another variable that affects the success of online learning environments is readiness towards online learning (So & Swatman, 2006). Readiness, which has an extremely important place in the education process, is one of the important inputs of the learning-teaching system (Bloom, 1995). Readiness is the student's introductory level to the subject, and his / her prior knowledge and attitude (Yenilmez and Kakmacı, 2008). It is extremely important to determine students' level of readiness before starting online learning (So & Swatman, 2006) for student success (Yurdugül and Alsancak-Srakaya, 2013). Considering the strong relationship between online learning readiness and online learning (Kruger-Ross and Waters, 2013; Kaur and Abas, 2004), it can be said that the progress of online learning is through online readiness (Hukle, 2009). Research has shown that success in online learning environments is directly related to online readiness (Kruger-Rose and Waters, 2013; Galy, Downey and Johnson, 2011; Artino, 2009; Mercado, 2008). Considering the relevant scientific studies, “Online learning readiness can be possibly defined as the state of being physically and mentally motivated for online learning by providing auto-control among individual's learning time, environment, materials, learning strategies, methods and techniques.” Also, it can be said that the individual can achieve online readiness at the rate of the access to technological tools and the internet, the ability to use social networks, technology literacy skills and individual learning responsibility.

In order to be ready, which is expressed as having the information required to experience the online learning experience in the most effective way, the individual must have attitude and motivation (Yurdugül and Demir, 2016). As a matter of fact, Hergüner et al. (2020) stated that a positive online attitude will form the basis for successful online learning readiness. The strong relationship between these two concepts has undoubtedly made online learning environments more successful. In addition to the developing and changing technological conditions, educational institutions have made a rapid transition to online learning due to the epidemic experienced today.

Covid-19 infection, which emerged in Wuhan, China in December 2019, was declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 (Cucinotta & Vanelli, 2020). Most governments around the world have tried to prevent the spread of this contagious disease by imposing closure, social distancing, face-

to-face education avoidance, and restrictions on human mobility (Zheng, Khan and Hussain, 2020). Higher education institutions in the countries have aimed to transition to a safe and healthy learning environment in order to protect students, academic and administrative staff and slow the spread of the virus (Cao et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020). The Covid-19 pandemic has led to a digital revolution in education with the use of online learning environments, digital books, teleconferences, and virtual classrooms (Kapasias et al., 2020; Sutton and Jorge, 2020). Many universities have transferred their courses to online learning environments (Ali, 2020; Crawford, Butler-Henderson, Rudolph and Glowatz, 2020; Huang et al., 2020). It appears that books and materials from traditional learning environments are changing wherever possible and that various online learning platforms (national television programs or social media) are being created. In addition, some educational institutions have announced holidays to prepare for the distance education environment (Gonzalez et al., 2020). In our country, on March 16, 2020, face-to-face education was suspended in schools and the distance education environment was started. One of the disciplines that interrupt face-to-face education is the field of sports sciences. As in all disciplines, online learning environments have been rapidly adopted in the field of sports sciences. With this research, it is aimed to examine the effect of students' online learning attitudes on online readiness in the field of sports sciences, which is multidisciplinary. It is seen that online learning has increased in today's world due to technological developments and the covid-19 pandemic. This study, which includes some sciences and is multidisciplinary, is considered to be important in terms of being the first in the field of sports sciences and leading future studies. The purpose of this research conducted in this context is to examine the effect of online learning attitudes on the online learning readiness of sports sciences students during the period of renewal and coronavirus pandemic (covid-19).

## METHOD

### Research Model

The research was patterned with the “correlational survey model” from quantitative methods. Correlational survey model is “research models aiming to determine the existence and / or degree of change between two or more variables” (Karasar, 2018, p. 114).

### Research Population and Sample

The universe of study of sports sciences seven state universities in Turkey has created for students in the faculty. The sample consisted of 599 (271 female + 328 male) sports sciences students studying at the Departments of Coaching Training, Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Recreation and Sports Management, selected by the easy sampling method from these faculties and taking courses from the online learning platform. The average age of the research group is 21.72.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the research group

<b>Gender</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
Female	271	45,2
Male	328	54,8
<b>Department</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
Coaching Training	127	21,2
Physical Education and Sports Teaching	187	31,2
Recreation	82	13,7
Sports Management	203	33,9
<b>Universities</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
Sakarya University of Applied Sciences	258	43,1
Kırıkkale University	106	17,7
İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa	84	14,0
Marmara University	56	9,3
Kırşehir Ahi Evran University	34	5,7
Bartın University	33	5,5
Kocaeli University	28	4,7
<b>Total</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>100,0</b>

Table 1 includes descriptive statistics results of the students participating in the research. According to the analysis result, 45.2% (n = 271) of the participants in the research are females; 54.8% (n = 328) of them are male students. According to the departments attended; Coaching training of 21.2% (n = 127); 31.2% (n = 187) of them were physical education and sports teachers; 13.7% (n = 82) of them are recreation; It is seen that 33.9% (n = 203) consisted of students from sports management department. 43.1% (n = 258) from Sakarya University of Applied Sciences; 17.7% (n = 106) from Kırıkkale University; 14.0% (n = 84) from Istanbul University-

Cerrahpaşa University; 9.3% (n = 56) from Marmara University; 5.7% (n = 34) from Kırşehir Ahi Evran University; 5.5% (n = 33) from Bartın University and 4.7% (n = 28) from Kocaeli University were included.

### **Data Collection Tools**

In the research, the data was collected with the “Personal Information Form” prepared by the researchers, “Online Learning Attitude Scale” developed by Usta, Uysal, and Okur (2016), “Online Learning Readiness Scale” developed by Hung, Chou, Chen, and Own (2010) and adapted to Turkish by Yurdugül, and Alsancak-Sırakaya (2013). Detailed information on measurement tools is given below.

### **Personal Information Form**

Within the scope of the research, the "Personal Information Form" created by the researchers was used to determine some demographic information of sports sciences students. In this form, it is aimed to reach information such as gender, age, university, and department of the students.

### **Online Learning Attitude Scale**

"Online Learning Attitude Scale" developed by Usta, Uysal, and Okur (2016) was used to determine the attitudes of sports sciences students towards online learning. The measuring tool consists of 20 items in 5-point Likert type (1 = Strongly disagree, Strongly agree = 5). It was determined that the measurement tool had 4 factors: "general acceptance ( $\alpha = .77$ )", "individual awareness ( $\alpha = .85$ )", "usefulness ( $\alpha = .79$ )" and "application effectiveness ( $\alpha = .68$ )". The overall Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient of the measurement tool was calculated as .90 (Usta, Uysal, & Okur, 2016). As a result of the present research, the Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficients of the scale were determined as .67 for general acceptance, .88 for individual awareness, .82 for application effectiveness, .58 for implementation effectiveness, and .91 for the overall scale. In this study, statistical operations were performed over the total score in terms of suitability to the purpose of the research.

### **Online Learning Readiness Scale**

To determine the readiness of sports sciences students for online learning, the “Online Learning Readiness Scale” was used, which was developed by Hung et al., (2020) and adapted to Turkish by Yurdugül and Alsancak-Sırakaya (2013). The measuring tool consists of 18 items in 5-point Likert type (1 = Strongly disagree, Strongly agree = 5). It has been determined that the measurement tool is based on that has 5 factors including “computer and internet self-efficacy ( $\alpha = .92$ )”, “self-directed learning ( $\alpha = .84$ )”, “learner control ( $\alpha = .85$ )”, “motivation for learning ( $\alpha = .80$ )” and “online communication self-efficacy ( $\alpha = .91$ )”. The overall Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient of the scale was calculated as .87 (Yurdugül and Alsancak-Sırakaya, 2013). As a result of the present research, the Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficients of the scale were .87 for computer / internet self-efficacy; .75 for self-directed learning; .74 for learner control; .83 for motivation for learning; .79 for online communication self-efficacy and Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient for the overall scale was determined as .91. In this study, statistical operations were performed over the total score in terms of suitability to the purpose of the research.

### **Collection of Data**

Before the research data were collected, necessary permissions were obtained from the ethics committee of Sakarya University of Applied Sciences (date 08/05/2020, number E.3789). Due to the coronavirus (Covid-19) epidemic, research questions were transferred to the online data collection system with Google form. Questions transferred to the online system between 24.05.2020 and 24.06.2020 were conveyed to the online learning platforms of the students through the deanship, department heads, and faculty members in the relevant faculties, and data were collected from those who voluntarily participated in the study. In addition, general information about the research, and the purpose of the research was given at the beginning of the research form, and approval of the voluntary participation form was added. After the students approved the button on the form, they participated in the research.

### **Analysis of Data**

The raw data obtained from the students through Google forms were transferred to an Excel file, checked and encoded and transferred to the SPSS package program. In order to decide on statistical analysis, normality test was applied to the data. As a result of the statistical process, it was determined that the data showed a distribution in the range  $-1 > \dots, < + 1$ . It is accepted that these values are suitable for normal distribution (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013). Descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation and regression analysis were used while analyzing the data.

**FINDINGS**

Table 2. The relationship results between the online attitudes of sports sciences students and their online readiness

		Online Learning Readiness	
Online Learning Attitude	r	,62	
	p	,00**	

In Table 2, Pearson correlation analysis results showing the relationship between sports sciences students' online attitudes and online readiness are given. The result of the analysis showed that there was a moderately significant positive correlation between the online attitudes of sports sciences students and their online readiness ( $r = .62$ ;  $p < .01$ ).

Table 3. The effect of sports sciences students' online attitudes on their online readiness

Model	B	Std. Error	$\beta$	t	p
Stable	32,65	1,64	---	19,87	,00
Online Learning Attitude	,52	,03	,62	19,30	,00

R= ,62      R<sup>2</sup><sub>adj</sub>= ,38  
 F<sub>(1,597)</sub> = 372,41      p= ,00

Dependent variable = Online Readiness      Method: Enter

In Table 3, simple linear regression analysis results are given to determine the effect of online attitudes of sports sciences students on their online readiness. As a result of the analysis, it is seen that the regression model is statistically significant. When the t-test results regarding the significance of the regression coefficients were examined, it was determined that the online attitude ( $\beta = .62$ ;  $t = 19.30$ ;  $p = .00$ ) had a significant effect on online readiness. It can be stated that 38% of the total variance of online readiness is explained by online attitude.

**DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

Due to the Covid-19 epidemic, a transition to online learning in higher education institutions has been a very complex process. In this research, it was aimed to examine the effect of online learning attitudes on the online learning readiness of sports sciences students during the period of coronavirus pandemic (covid-19). In this context, 599 sports sciences students took online learning platform courses in Coaching Training, Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Recreation and Sport Management Department from the sports sciences faculty of the seven state universities in Turkey joined the research.

As a result of the research, a moderately significant positive correlation was found between the online learning attitudes of sports sciences students and online learning readiness. Besides, it was determined that online attitude significantly predicts online readiness and online attitude has 38% of the total variance in explaining online readiness. This result revealed that to achieve high readiness in online learning, online attitude should also be high.

Online learning that provides education without the restriction of space and time for students; It is to manage the process effectively by interacting between student-student, student-teacher, student-content, or student-system (Moore and Kearsley, 1996). The online learning process is possible with the use of technology as well as being the innovation brought by technological processes. Therefore, this learning process made possible by technology can form theoretical frameworks from a pedagogical perspective as well as current developments (Beldarrain, 2006). During the period when the teacher is not in the same environment, it has become necessary for the student to feel competent, self-confident, and gain a positive attitude (Çatana-Kuleli, 2018). Also, teaching students their own learning style is a goal for the educator, but it should not be overlooked that not all students have the same learning skills (Tichavsky, Hunt, Driscoll and Jicha, 2015). One of the first ways to increase this learning skill is the attitude towards learning. For this reason, the first variable in the research is about attitude. An important variable that affects attitude is the motivation. If the student is motivated, he/she will be determined to achieve his / her goal by being self-disciplined (Kemp, Palmer and Strelan, 2019). Considering the learning process, the learner's attitude towards learning is of great importance in terms of the process (Chapman and Van Auken, 2001). An individual's attitude towards a behavior allows to evaluate the behavior as positive or negative (GarcíaBotero, Questier, Cincinnato, He and Zhu, 2018; Kemp et al., 2019). Likewise, attitudes in the education process; It has a strong effect on learning processes (Ali, 2020), behaviors (Arbaugh, 2000; Arbaugh,

2010; Bernard et al., 2004) and performance (Duarte, 2007; Bråten and Strømsø, 2006; Özden, 2009). In this context, it shows that attitudes are an important tool in students' self-motivation and performance (Love, Love and Northcraft, 2010). Because a negative performance may be associated with a negative attitude (Sadik and Reisman, 2004). If the displayed attitude is negative and negligible, the student's chance of entering the learning process may decrease (Prior, Mazanov, Meacheam, Heaslip and Hanson, 2016). In previous studies in this area, it has been reported that a positive attitude is important in predicting academic achievement (Karagiannopoulou and Christodoulides, 2005; Pierce et al., 2007). This information clearly reveals that the online learning attitude should be positive. The fact that the positive attitude is a variable that directly affects the student's positive readiness towards the course has been revealed. As stated by Hergüner et al. (2020) in their research results, “the necessity of positive attitude due to the nature of learning” has been obtained again within the framework of the current research. In the literature and researches, a positive student attitude will increase interest in learning (Dahalan, Hassan and Atan, 2012) and it is believed that learning will be more satisfying (Sun, Tsai, Finger, Chen and Yeh, 2008; Piccoli, Ahmad and Ives, 2001) and it also affects learning interest (Hannafin and Cole, 1983) and keeps his motivation alive (Peng, Tsai and Wu, 2006; Yang and Lin, 2010).

Another important variable that has a significant effect on online learning attitude and revealed as a result of the research is readiness for online learning. As a matter of fact, Thorndike stated that attitudes are related to readiness (Uçar, 2017). Readiness has been frequently mentioned and emphasized in previous research on online learning environments (Adnan and Boz-Yaman, 2017; Horzum and Çakır, 2012; Shraim and Khlaif, 2010; Hung, et al., 2010; Fogerson, 2005; Watkins, Leigh and Triner, 2004; Borotis and Poullymenakou, 2004; Smith, Murphy and Mahonay, 2003). Examining online learning readiness at the beginning of the education process is important for an effective online learning process (So & Swatman, 2006). In order to benefit from online learning environments sufficiently and to use their advantages, the importance of online learning readiness has begun to be emphasized (Watkins and Corry, 2005) and it has become a concept discussed in the process of offering online learning opportunities in different formats (Smith, 2005). So, success in online learning depends on understanding the readiness of stakeholders in this learning environment (Mercado, 2008; Kruger-Ross and Waters, 2013).

Guglielmino and Guglielmino (2003) and Piskurich (2003) reported in their research that forcing individuals who are not ready to learn online would have negative consequences. Machado (2007) emphasized that online readiness for better use of online learning is important and needs to be researched. Indeed, learning can be explained by the strong relationships between cognitive and motivational processes (Pintrich and Schunk, 2002, Stefanou and Salisbury-Glennon, 2002). An individual who uses cognitive processes well can be successful in learning by motivating herself/himself to learning. The motivated individual will be more effective in performing the behaviors (Lee, Bray, Carter-Wells, Glaeser, Ivers and Street, 2004). Behaviors and readiness are related (Demir, 2015). The positive attitude of readiness can only occur with a positive attitude. Because positive attitude towards online learning directly affects the readiness for online learning (Hergüner et al., 2020). Readiness in online learning is positively associated with learning (Stansfield, McLellan and Connolly, 2004). As a matter of fact, there are studies pointing out the positive effect of readiness on learning (Demir-Kaymak & Horzum, 2013; Hukle, 2009; Watkins et al., 2004; So & Swatman, 2006; Artino, 2009; Galy et al., 2011; Kruger-Rose and Waters, 2013).

In the studies conducted in the literature, the existence of studies showing that online learning attitude is positively correlated with online learning readiness and online learning attitude positively explains online learning readiness (Hergüner et al., 2020) supports the research result. Also, the view that positive attitude in the literature lays the groundwork for positive learning (Bråten and Strømsø, 2006; Sanders and Morrison-Shetlar, 2001; Alomyan & Au, 2004) and readiness (Uçar, 2017; Demir, 2015) is consistent with the research results.

The information obtained in the literature and the current research result clearly shows that the way to good online learning is a positive online learning attitude and a good online learning readiness. With this research, the necessity of using online learning environments in both theoretical and practical courses in sports sciences, which is a multidisciplinary field, and the positive attitude and readiness of sports sciences students towards online learning environments have been revealed. In subsequent research; It is recommended that revealing all the sports sciences and online learning conditions of schools in Turkey, the examination of online learning attitudes and online learning readiness, online student engagement of sports sciences students, revealing online socialization situations, besides, similar studies should be carried out on the instructors who are the most important engines and trainers of the education system.

## REFERENCES

- Adnan, M., & Boz-Yaman, B. (2017). Mühendislik öğrencilerinin e-öğrenmeye dair beklenti, hazır bulunuşluk ve memnuniyet düzeyleri. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 8(2), 218-243.

- Aldhafeeri, F. M., & Khan, B. H. (2016). Teachers' and students' views on e-learning readiness in Kuwait's secondary public schools. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 45*(2), 202-235.
- Ali, W. (2020). Online and remote learning in higher education institutes: A Necessity in light of COVID-19 Pandemic. *Higher Education, 10*(3).
- Alomyan, H., & Au, W. (2004). Exploration of instructional strategies and individual difference within the context of web-based learning. *International Education Journal, 4*(4), 86-91.
- Arbaugh, J. B. (2000). How classroom environment and student engagement affect learning in Internet-based MBA courses. *Business Communication Quarterly, 63*(4), 9-26.
- Arbaugh, J. B. (2010). Sage, guide, both, or even more? An examination of instructor activity in online MBA courses. *Computers & Education, 55*(3), 1234-1244.
- Artino Jr, A. R. (2009). Online learning: Are subjective perceptions of instructional context related to academic success?. *The Internet and Higher Education, 12*(3-4), 117-125.
- Beldarrain, Y. (2006). Distance education trends: Integrating new technologies to foster student interaction and collaboration. *Distance education, 27*(2), 139-153.
- Benson, A. D. (2002). Using online learning to meet workforce demand: A case study of stakeholder influence. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education, 3*(4), 443-52.
- Bernard, R. M., Abrami, P. C., Lou, Y., Borokhovski, E., Wade, A., Wozney, L., Walset, P.A., Fiset, M., & Huang, B. (2004). How does distance education compare with classroom instruction? A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Review of educational research, 74*(3), 379-439.
- Bloom, B. (1995). *İnsan nitelikleri ve okulda öğrenme* (2. Baskı). (Çeviren: Durmuş Ali Özçelik). Ankara: Milli Eğitim Basımevi.
- Borotis, S., & Poulymenakou, A. (2004). E-learning readiness components: Key issues to consider before adopting e-learning interventions. In *E-Learn: World Conference on E-Learning in Corporate, Government, Healthcare, and Higher Education* (pp. 1622-1629). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).
- Bower, M. (2019). Technology-mediated learning theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50*(3), 1035-1048.
- Bråten, I., & Strømsø, H. I. (2006). Epistemological beliefs, interest, and gender as predictors of Internet-based learning activities. *Computers in Human Behavior, 22*(6), 1027-1042.
- Brecht, H., & Ogilby, S. (2008). Enabling a comprehensive teaching strategy: Video lectures. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice, 7*(1), 71-86.
- Cao, W., Fang, Z., Hou, G., Han, M., Xu, X., Dong, J., & Zheng, J. (2020). The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry research, 112934*.
- Carliner, S. (2004). *An overview of online learning* (2nd ed.). Armherst, MA: Human Resource Development Press.
- Chapman, K. J., & Van Auken, S. (2001). Creating positive group project experiences: An examination of the role of the instructor on students' perceptions of group projects. *Journal of Marketing Education, 23*(2), 117-127.
- Chen, C. M., & Wu, C. H. (2015). Effects of different video lecture types on sustained attention, emotion, cognitive load, and learning performance. *Computers & Education, 80*, 108-121.
- Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning* (4th ed.). London: Wiley
- Conrad, D. (2002). Deep in the hearts of learners: Insights into the nature of online community. *Journal of Distance Education, 17*(1), 1-19.
- Crawford, J., Butler-Henderson, K., Rudolph, J., & Glowatz, M. (2020). COVID-19: 20 countries' higher education intra-period digital pedagogy responses. *Journal of Applied Teaching and Learning (JALT), 3*(1). 10.37074/jalt.2020.3.1.7
- Cucinotta, D., & Vanelli, M. (2020). WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis, 91*(1), 157.
- Çatana-Kuleli, S. (2018). *Öğretmen adaylarının çevrimiçi öğrenmeye hazırbulunuşluk düzeyleri ve bilgi işlemsel düşünme becerilerinin değerlendirilmesi*. (Yüksek Lisans Tezi). Düzce Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Düzce.
- Dahalan, N., Hassan, H., & Atan, H. (2012). Student engagement in online learning: Learners attitude toward e-mentoring. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 67*, 464-475.
- Daniels, M., Tyler, J. & Christie, B. (2000). *On-line instruction in counselor education: possibilities, implications, and guidelines*. Virginia: American Counseling Association.
- Demir, Ö. (2015). *Öğrencilerin ve öğretim elemanlarının e-öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk düzeylerinin incelenmesi: Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Örneği*. (Yüksek Lisans Tezi). Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Eğitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Ankara.

- Demir-Kaymak, Z., & Horzum, M. B. (2013). Çevrimiçi öğrenme öğrencilerinin çevrimiçi öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk düzeyleri, algıladıkları yapı ve etkileşim arasındaki ilişki. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri*, 13(3), 1783-1797.
- Duarte, A. M. (2007). Conceptions of learning and approaches to learning in Portuguese students. *Higher education*, 54(6), 781-794.
- Fogerson, D. L. (2005). Readiness factors contributing to participant satisfaction in online higher education courses (Doctoral dissertation). *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database, Section*, 226.
- Galy, E., Downey, C., & Johnson, J. (2011). The effect of using e-learning tools in online and campus-based classrooms on student performance. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 10(1), 209-230.
- GarcíaBotero, G., Questier, F., Cincinato, S., He, T., & Zhu, C. (2018). Acceptance and usage of mobile assisted language learning by higher education students. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 30(3), 426-451.
- Gonzalez, T., De la Rubia, M. A., Hincz, K. P., Comas-Lopez, M., Subirats, L., Fort, S., & Sacha, G. M. (2020). Influence of COVID-19 confinement on students' performance in higher education. *PloS one*, 15(10), e0239490.
- Guglielmino, L. M., & Guglielmino, P. J. (2003). Identifying learners who are ready for e-learning and supporting their success. *Preparing Learners for E-Learning*, 18-33.
- Hannafin, M. J., & Cole, D. D. (1983). A comparison of factors affecting the elective selection of introductory computer courses. *AEDS Journal*, 16(4), 218-227.
- Hergüner, G., Son, S. B., Son, S. H., & Dönmez, A. (2020). The effect of online learning attitudes of university students on their online learning readiness. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 19(4).
- Hergüner, G., Son, S. B., Son, S. H., & Dönmez, A. (2020). The effect of online learning attitudes of university students on their online learning readiness. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 19(4).
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*, 27.
- Horton, W. (2000). *Designing web based training*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Horzum, M. B., & Cakır, O. (2012). Structural equation modeling in readiness, willingness and anxiety of secondary school students about the distance learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47, 369-375.
- Huang, R. H., Liu, D. J., & Zhan, T. (2020). Guidance on Flexible learning during Campus Closures: ensuring course quality of higher education in COVID-19 outbreak. *Beijing: Smart Learning Institute of Beijing Normal University*.
- Hukle, D.R. L. (2009). *An evaluation of readiness factors for online education*, Mississippi State University, Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, Mississippi.
- Hung, M. L., Chou, C., Chen, C. H., & Own, Z. Y. (2010). Learner readiness for online learning: Scale development and student perceptions. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), 1080-1090.
- Hwang, G. J., Wang, S. Y., & Lai, C. L. (2020). Effects of a social regulation-based online learning framework on students' learning achievements and behaviors in mathematics. *Computers & Education*, 160, 104031.
- Isaac, O., Aldholay, A., Abdullah, Z., & Ramayah, T. (2019). Online learning usage within Yemeni higher education: The role of compatibility and task-technology fit as mediating variables in the IS success model. *Computers & Education*, 136, 113-129.
- Kapasia, N., Paul, P., Roy, A., Saha, J., Zaveri, A., Mallick, R., ... & Chouhan, P. (2020). Impact of lockdown on learning status of undergraduate and postgraduate students during COVID-19 pandemic in West Bengal, India. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 116, 105194.
- Kara, A. (2010). Öğrenmeye ilişkin tutum ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 9(32), 49-62.
- Karagiannopoulou, E., & Christodoulides, P. (2005). The impact of Greek University students' perceptions of their learning environment on approaches to studying and academic outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 43(6), 329-350.
- Karasar, N. (2018). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri: Kavramlar, ilkeler ve teknikler*. (32.Baskı). Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dağıtım.
- Kaur, K., & Abas, Z. W. (2004, November-December). *An assessment of e-Learning readiness at the open university Malaysia*. Paper presented at the international conference on computers in education (ICCE2004), Melbourne, Australia.

- Kemp, A., Palmer, E., & Strelan, P. (2019). A taxonomy of factors affecting attitudes towards educational technologies for use with technology acceptance models. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2394-2413.
- Kruger-Ross, M. J., & Waters, R. D. (2013). Predicting online learning success: Applying the situational theory of publics to the virtual classroom. *Computers & Education*, 61, 176-184.
- Lee, J., Bray, M., Carter-Wells, J., Glaeser, B., Ivers, K., & Street, C. (2004). Discovering the meaning of community in an online master's degree program. *Association for Educational Communications and Technology*.
- Love, E. G., Love, D. W., & Northcraft, G. B. (2010). Is the end in sight? Student regulation of in-class and extra-credit effort in response to performance feedback. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 9(1), 81-97.
- Machado, C. (2007). Developing an e-readiness model for higher education institutions: Results of a focus group study. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(1), 72-82.
- Mercado, C. (2008). Readiness assessment tool for an e-learning environment implementation. *Special Issue of the International Journal of the Computer, the Internet and Management*, 16, 18-11.
- Merisuo-Storm, T. (2007). Pupils' attitudes towards foreign-language learning and the development of literacy skills in bilingual education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23(2), 226-235.
- Moore, M. G., & Kearsley, G. G. (1996). *Distance education: A system view*. Wadsworth.
- Özden, M. (2008). An investigation of some factors affecting attitudes toward chemistry in university education. *Essays in Education*, 24(1), 8.
- Peng, H., Tsai, C. C., & Wu, Y. T. (2006). University students' self-efficacy and their attitudes toward the Internet: the role of students' perceptions of the Internet. *Educational Studies*, 32(1), 73-86.
- Piccoli, G., Ahmad, R., & Ives, B. (2001). Web-based virtual learning environments: A research framework and a preliminary assessment of effectiveness in basic IT skills training. *MIS quarterly*, 401-426.
- Pierce, R., Stacey, K., Barkatsas, A. (2007). A Scale for Monitoring Students' Attitudes to Learning Mathematics with Technology. *Computers and Education*, 48, 285-300.
- Pillay, H., Irving, K., & Tones, M. (2007). Validation of the diagnostic tool for assessing tertiary students' readiness for online learning. *High Education Research & Development*, 26(2), 217-234.
- Pintrich, P. R., & Schunk, D. H. (2002). *Motivation in education: Theory, research, and applications* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Prentice Hall
- Piskurich, G., M. (2003). *Preparing learners for e-learning*. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.
- Prior, D. D., Mazanov, J., Meacheam, D., Heaslip, G., & Hanson, J. (2016). Attitude, digital literacy and self efficacy: Flow-on effects for online learning behavior. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 29, 91-97.
- Sadik, A., & Reisman, S. (2004). Design and implementation of a web-based learning environment: Lessons learned. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 5(3), 157-171,228.
- Sanders, D. W., & Morrison-Shetlar, A. I. (2001). Student attitudes toward web-enhanced instruction in an introductory biology course. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 33(3), 251-262.
- Scheiter, K., & Gerjets, P. (2007). Learner control in hypermedia environments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(3), 285-307.
- Shraim, K., & Khlaif, Z. (2010). An e-learning approach to secondary education in Palestine: opportunities and challenges. *Information Technology for Development*, 16(3), 159-173.
- Smith, P. J. (2005). Learning preferences and readiness for online learning. *Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 3-12.
- Smith, P. J., Murphy, K. L., & Mahoney, S. E. (2003). Towards identifying factors underlying readiness for online learning: An exploratory study. *Distance Education*, 24(1), 57-67.
- So, T., & Swatman, P.M.C. (2006). *E-Learning readiness of Hong Kong teachers*. *Hong Kong IT in Education Conference 2006 "Capacity Building for Learning through IT"* (HKITEC2006), February 6-8, 2006, Hong Kong Exhibition and Convention Centre, Hong Kong.
- Stansfield, M., McLellan, E., & Connolly, T. (2004). Enhancing student performance in online learning and traditional face-to-face class delivery. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 3(1), 173-188.
- Stefanou, C. R., & Salisbury-Glennon, J. D. (2002). Developing motivation and cognitive learning strategies through an undergraduate learning community. *Learning Environments Research*, 5(1), 77-97.
- Sun, P. C., Tsai, R. J., Finger, G., Chen, Y. Y., & Yeh, D. (2008). What drives a successful e-Learning? An empirical investigation of the critical factors influencing learner satisfaction. *Computers & Education*, 50(4), 1183-1202.
- Sutton, M. J., & Jorge, C. F. B. (2020). Potential for radical change in Higher Education learning spaces after the pandemic. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching*, 3(1).
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics*, 6th edn Boston. Ma: Pearson.

- Tichavsky, L. P., Hunt, A. N., Driscoll, A., & Jicha, K. (2015). " It's Just Nice Having a Real Teacher": Student Perceptions of Online versus Face-to-Face Instruction. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 9(2), n2.
- Tsai, C. C., & Kuo, P. C. (2008). Cram school students' conceptions of learning and learning science in Taiwan. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(3), 353-375.
- Uçar, M. E. (2017). *Bağlaşım kuramı (Araçsal koşullanma)*. Ankara: Pegem Atıf İndeksi.
- Usta, İ., Uysal, Ö., & Okur, M. R. (2016). Çevrimiçi öğrenme tutum ölçeği: Geliştirilmesi, geçerliği ve güvenilirliği. *Journal of International Social Research*, 9(43).
- Vandenhouten, C., Gallagher-Lepak, S., Reilly, J., & Ralston-Berg, P. (2014). Collaboration in e-learning: A study using the flexible e-learning framework. *Online Learning*, 18(3), n3.
- Wang, C. H., Shannon, D. M., & Ross, M. E. (2013). Students' characteristics, self-regulated learning, technology self-efficacy, and course outcomes in online learning. *Distance Education*, 34(3), 302-323.
- Watkins, R., & Corry, M. (2005). *E-learning companion: A student's guide to online success*. New York: Houghton Mifflin
- Watkins, R., Leigh, D., & Triner, D. (2004). Assessing readiness for e-learning. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 17(4), 66-79.
- Wilde, N., & Hsu, A. (2019). The influence of general self-efficacy on the interpretation of vicarious experience information within online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1-20.
- Yang, A., & Lau, L. (2003). Student attitudes to the learning of English at secondary and tertiary levels. *System*, 31(1), 107-123.
- Yang, Y., & Lin, N. C. (2010). Internet perceptions, online participation and language learning in Moodle forums: A case study on nursing students in Taiwan. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 2647-2651.
- Yenilmez, K. ve Kakmacı, Ö. (2008). İlköğretim yedinci sınıf öğrencilerinin matematikteki hazır bulunuşluk düzeyi. *Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi*, 16(2), 529-542.
- Yudko, E., Hirokawa, R., & Chi, R. (2008). Attitudes, beliefs, and attendance in a hybrid course. *Computers & Education*, 50(4), 1217-1227.
- Yurdugül, H., & Alsancak-Sırakaya, D. (2013). Çevrimiçi öğrenme hazır bulunuşluluk ölçeği: Geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *Eğitim ve Bilim*, 38(169).
- Yurdugül, H., & Demir, Ö. (2016). An investigation of pre-service teachers' readiness for e-learning at undergraduate level teacher training programs: The case of Hacettepe University. *H. U. Journal of Education* 32(4): 896-915.
- Zheng, F., Khan, N. A., & Hussain, S. (2020). The COVID 19 Pandemic and Digital Higher Education: Exploring the impact of proactive personality on social capital through internet self-efficacy and online interaction quality. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 105694.