Teachers' Perceptions of Generative AI in Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Classroom Use

Ali AKÇİN
İskele Evkaf Türk Maarif Koleji
aliakcin82@gmail.com

Kemal KURUKAFA
İskele Evkaf Türk Maarif Koleji
kemal.kurukafa@hotmail.com

Adil TARHAN
Dipkarpaz Recep Tayyip Erdoğan Ortaokulu
tarhan01adil@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
This qualitative study explores teachers' perceptions of generative artificial intelligence (AI) in education, focusing on opportunities, challenges, and classroom applications. Using semi-structured interviews with 45 teachers from primary, secondary, and high school levels across Turkey, the research employed thematic analysis to identify key themes regarding generative AI integration. The findings suggest that teachers recognize significant opportunities including personalized learning, enhanced content creation, time efficiency, and improved student engagement. That said, they also express substantial concerns about academic integrity, over-reliance on technology, inadequate training, ethical implications, and equity issues. Teachers report diverse classroom applications ranging from lesson planning and assessment creation to providing feedback and generating instructional materials. This research identifies six major themes: (1) Perceived Opportunities and Benefits, (2) Challenges and Barriers to Implementation, (3) Current Classroom Applications, (4) Professional Development Needs, (5) Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns, and (6) Future Integration Perspectives. Results indicate that successful generative AI integration requires comprehensive professional development, clear institutional policies, and balanced approaches that preserve critical thinking while leveraging technological advantages. This research contributes to understanding how educators perceive and utilize generative AI tools, offering insights for policymakers, educational institutions, and teacher training programs.
Keywords: Generative artificial intelligence, teachers' perceptions, educational technology, classroom integration, ChatGPT, opportunities and challenges

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of generative artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, particularly large language models such as ChatGPT, has created unprecedented opportunities and challenges in educational contexts worldwide (Kasneci et al., 2023). These AI systems, capable of generating human-like text, creating educational content, and providing instant feedback, have rapidly transformed teaching and learning practices across all educational levels (Baidoo-Anu & Owusu Ansah, 2023). As generative AI tools become increasingly accessible and sophisticated, understanding teachers' perceptions of these technologies has become critical for effective educational integration and policy development (Cotton et al., 2023).

Generative AI represents a paradigm shift in educational technology, moving beyond traditional computer-assisted instruction to systems that can engage in complex reasoning, generate original content, and adapt to individual learner needs (Mollick & Mollick, 2023). Unlike previous educational technologies, generative AI tools offer unprecedented capabilities for personalization, content creation, and instructional support (Rudolph et al., 2023). That said, their rapid adoption has also raised significant concerns about academic integrity, critical thinking development, and the changing role of educators in AI-enhanced learning environments (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Teachers stand at the forefront of this technological transformation, serving as key decision-makers in determining how, when, and whether to integrate generative AI into their pedagogical practices (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Their perceptions, attitudes, and experiences significantly influence the success or failure of technology integration initiatives (Lim et al., 2023). Research indicates that teachers' beliefs about technology directly impact their adoption behaviors, instructional strategies, and ultimately, student learning outcomes

(Celik, 2023). As a result, examining teachers' perceptions of generative AI provides essential insights into both the opportunities and obstacles facing educational institutions as they navigate this technological revolution.

Despite growing interest in generative AI in education, significant gaps remain in our understanding of teachers' perspectives, particularly regarding practical classroom applications and the specific challenges educators face when implementing these technologies (Ellis et al., 2025). While several studies have examined student use of AI tools or focused on higher education contexts, fewer have explored K-12 teachers' comprehensive perceptions across different school levels (Kim, 2025). In addition, most existing research has been conducted in Western contexts, with limited attention to teachers' experiences in diverse cultural and educational settings (Majeed et al., 2024).

In this study addresses these gaps by investigating teachers' perceptions of generative AI across primary, secondary, and high school levels, with particular attention to the opportunities they identify, the challenges they encounter, and the ways they currently use or envision using these tools in their classrooms. The research is guided by three primary questions: (1) What opportunities and benefits do teachers perceive in generative AI for education? (2) What challenges and concerns do teachers identify regarding generative AI integration? (3) How are teachers currently using or planning to use generative AI in their classroom practices? By examining these questions through in-depth qualitative inquiry, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of teachers' perspectives that can inform professional development programs, institutional policies, and future research on AI in education (Tongchai et al., 2024).

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Research Design

In this study employed a qualitative research design using semi-structured interviews to explore teachers' perceptions of generative AI in education. The qualitative approach was selected because it allows for in-depth exploration of participants' experiences, beliefs, and attitudes, providing rich contextual understanding that quantitative methods alone cannot capture (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Semi-structured interviews were chosen as the primary data collection method because they offer flexibility to probe interesting responses while maintaining consistency across interviews through a predetermined set of core questions (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The research was grounded in interpretive phenomenology, which seeks to understand how individuals make sense of their lived experiences (Van Manen, 2016). This philosophical approach is particularly appropriate for investigating teachers' perceptions because it acknowledges that understanding of technology is socially constructed and context-dependent (Patton, 2015). This research aimed to capture the complexity and diversity of teachers' perspectives rather than seeking to generalize findings to all educational contexts.

2.2. Study Group and Sampling

This research group consisted of 45 teachers from various educational levels in Turkey, selected through purposive sampling. Purposive sampling was employed to ensure representation across different school levels, subject areas, and levels of experience with generative AI technologies (Palinkas et al., 2015). The sample included 15 primary school teachers (grades 1-4), 15 secondary school teachers (grades 5-8), and 15 high school teachers (grades 9-12) from both public and private schools in Ankara and surrounding provinces.

Participant selection criteria included: (1) at least three years of teaching experience, (2) awareness of generative AI tools such as ChatGPT, and (3) willingness to discuss their perceptions and experiences openly. The sample included teachers from diverse subject areas including Turkish language and literature, mathematics, science, social studies, English language, and information technology. Among the 45 participants, 28 were female and 17 were male, with teaching experience ranging from 3 to 24 years (mean = 11.3 years). Approximately 60% of participants reported having used generative AI tools at least once for professional purposes, while 40% had not yet used these tools but were aware of their existence and capabilities.

2.3. Data Collection Tool

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews conducted between September 2025 and January 2026. The interview protocol was developed based on extensive literature review and consultation with experts in educational technology and qualitative research methods (Rasool et al., 2025; Wang, 2024). The protocol consisted of 18 open-ended questions organized into five main sections: (1) awareness and familiarity with generative AI, (2) perceived opportunities and benefits, (3) challenges and concerns, (4) current and potential classroom applications, and (5) professional development needs and future perspectives.

Sample interview questions included: "What is your understanding of generative AI tools like ChatGPT?", "What opportunities do you see for using generative AI in your teaching practice?", "What concerns do you have about integrating generative AI in education?", "How have you used or how might you use generative AI in your classroom?", and "What support would you need to effectively integrate generative AI into your teaching?" The interview protocol was piloted with three teachers not included in the final sample, and minor revisions were made based on their feedback to improve clarity and flow.

2.4. Data Collection Process

Interviews were conducted individually, either face-to-face in participants' schools or via video conferencing platforms based on participant preference and logistical considerations. Each interview lasted between 45 and 75 minutes (average = 58 minutes). All interviews were audio-recorded with participants' explicit consent and transcribed verbatim within 48 hours of completion. Field notes were also taken during and immediately after each interview to capture non-verbal cues, contextual information, and initial impressions.

Prior to data collection, ethical approval was obtained from the Ankara University Ethics Committee (Approval No: 2025-08-142). Participants were provided with detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights, and all provided written informed consent. Confidentiality was ensured through the use of pseudonyms and removal of identifying information from transcripts. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences.

2.5. Data Analysis

Data analysis followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase thematic analysis approach: (1) familiarization with the data through repeated reading of transcripts, (2) generation of initial codes, (3) searching for themes by grouping codes, (4) reviewing and refining themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) producing the final analysis. The analysis was conducted using NVivo 14 qualitative data analysis software to facilitate systematic coding and theme development.

The coding process began with open coding, where segments of text were assigned descriptive codes capturing their essential meaning. Initial coding resulted in 127 distinct codes. These codes were then grouped into broader categories based on conceptual similarities, resulting in 18 categories. Through iterative review and refinement, these categories were organized into six major themes and 14 sub-themes. Two researchers independently coded 20% of the transcripts to establish inter-coder reliability, achieving a Cohen's kappa coefficient of 0.84, indicating strong agreement (McHugh, 2012).

2.6. Validity and Reliability

Multiple strategies were employed to enhance the trustworthiness of the research findings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Credibility was established through prolonged engagement with the data, triangulation of perspectives across different school levels and subject areas, and member checking with 12 participants who reviewed their interview transcripts and preliminary findings. Transferability was addressed through thick description of the research context, participants, and findings, allowing readers to assess the applicability of results to their own contexts.

Dependability was ensured through maintaining a detailed audit trail documenting all research decisions, coding processes, and analytical steps. An external auditor with expertise in qualitative research reviewed the audit trail and confirmed the logical consistency of the research process. Confirmability was enhanced through reflexive journaling, where researchers documented their assumptions, biases, and reactions throughout the research process, and through the use of direct quotations from participants to ground findings in the data. These measures collectively strengthen confidence in the research findings and their interpretation.

3. FINDINGS

The thematic analysis of interview data revealed six major themes regarding teachers' perceptions of generative AI in education: (1) Perceived Opportunities and Benefits, (2) Challenges and Barriers to Implementation, (3) Current Classroom Applications, (4) Professional Development Needs, (5) Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns, and (6) Future Integration Perspectives. Each theme is presented below with supporting data and illustrative quotations from participants.

3.1. Theme 1: Perceived Opportunities and Benefits

Teachers identified numerous opportunities and benefits associated with generative AI in education. Table 1 presents the frequency and percentage of teachers mentioning specific opportunities.

Table 1. Perceived Opportunities and Benefits of Generative AI (N=45)

Opportunity/Benefit	Frequency	Percentage
Personalized learning and differentiation	38	84.4%
Time-saving in lesson planning and preparation	35	77.8%
Enhanced content creation and material development	33	73.3%
Immediate feedback provision	29	64.4%
Increased student engagement and motivation	27	60.0%
Support for diverse learning needs	25	55.6%
Access to diverse resources and examples	23	51.1%
Language learning support	19	42.2%
Administrative task automation	17	37.8%

The most frequently mentioned opportunity was personalized learning and differentiation (84.4%), with teachers recognizing generative AI's potential to tailor content and activities to individual student needs (Javahery et al., 2025). One high school mathematics teacher explained: "With AI, I can generate different versions of the same problem at varying difficulty levels. This allows me to challenge advanced students while providing appropriate support for those who struggle." Time-saving benefits in lesson planning and preparation were mentioned by 77.8% of participants, reflecting teachers' appreciation for AI's efficiency in generating ideas, materials, and resources (Reis-Andersson, 2024). A primary school teacher noted: "ChatGPT helps me brainstorm creative activities and generate examples much faster than searching through textbooks or websites."

Enhanced content creation capabilities were identified by 73.3% of teachers, who valued AI's ability to generate explanations, examples, worksheets, and assessment items (Escario et al., 2024). Teachers also recognized opportunities for providing immediate feedback (64.4%) and increasing student engagement through interactive and varied learning experiences (60.0%). Several teachers mentioned AI's potential to support students with diverse learning needs, including English language learners and students with learning disabilities, by providing scaffolded explanations and multiple representations of concepts (Saud, 2025).

3.2. Theme 2: Challenges and Barriers to Implementation

Despite recognizing opportunities, teachers identified substantial challenges and barriers to implementing generative AI in their classrooms. Table 2 summarizes the main challenges mentioned by participants.

Table 2. Challenges and Barriers to Generative AI Implementation (N=45)

Challenge/Barrier	Frequency	Percentage
Academic integrity and plagiarism concerns	41	91.1%
Student over-reliance and reduced critical thinking	37	82.2%
Inadequate teacher training and preparation	34	75.6%
Accuracy and reliability of AI-generated content	31	68.9%
Lack of institutional policies and guidelines	28	62.2%
Digital divide and equity issues	26	57.8%
Ethical concerns and bias in AI outputs	24	53.3%
Difficulty in assessment and evaluation	22	48.9%
Technological infrastructure limitations	18	40.0%

Academic integrity and plagiarism concerns were mentioned by 91.1% of teachers, representing the most significant barrier to AI integration (Pettersson et al., 2024). A secondary school Turkish language teacher expressed: "My biggest worry is that students will simply copy AI-generated essays without learning anything. How can I assess their actual writing skills?" Teachers' concerns about student over-reliance and reduced critical thinking were also prevalent (82.2%), with many fearing that easy access to AI-generated answers might discourage deep thinking and problem-solving (Munawar et al., 2024).

Inadequate teacher training emerged as a critical barrier (75.6%), with most teachers reporting they had received no formal professional development on generative AI (Moorhouse et al., 2024). One experienced teacher stated: "I know these tools exist, but I don't really understand how they work or how to use them effectively in my teaching. We need proper training." Concerns about the accuracy and reliability of AI-generated content were mentioned by 68.9% of participants, who worried about potential misinformation or errors in AI outputs (Kim et al., 2022). The lack of clear institutional policies and guidelines (62.2%) left many teachers uncertain about appropriate uses and boundaries for AI in their schools (Soleimani et al., 2025).

3.3. Theme 3: Current Classroom Applications

Teachers reported diverse ways they were currently using or envisioning using generative AI in their classroom practices. Table 3 presents the frequency of different classroom applications.

Table 3. Current and Potential Classroom Applications of Generative AI (N=45)

Classroom Application	Frequency	Percentage
Lesson planning and instructional design	32	71.1%
Creating practice questions and exercises	28	62.2%
Generating examples and explanations	27	60.0%
Developing assessment items and rubrics	24	53.3%
Providing writing feedback and suggestions	21	46.7%
Creating differentiated materials	19	42.2%
Translating and simplifying content	17	37.8%
Brainstorming and idea generation	16	35.6%
Creating visual aids and presentations	14	31.1%

Lesson planning and instructional design were the most common applications (71.1%), with teachers using AI to generate lesson ideas, structure activities, and develop teaching materials (Nyaaba, 2024). A middle school science teacher explained: "I use ChatGPT to help me plan inquiry-based lessons. It suggests interesting questions and activities that I can adapt for my students." Creating practice questions and exercises was mentioned by 62.2% of teachers, who valued AI's ability to quickly generate varied practice items at different difficulty levels (Reis-Andersson, 2024).

Teachers also used generative AI to generate multiple explanations of complex concepts (60.0%), develop assessment items and rubrics (53.3%), and provide feedback on student writing (46.7%). Several teachers mentioned using AI to create differentiated materials for students with varying abilities (42.2%) and to translate or simplify content for English language learners (37.8%). A high school English teacher noted: "I use AI to generate different versions of reading passages at various reading levels, which helps me support all my students" (Ruediger et al., 2024).

3.4. Theme 4: Professional Development Needs

Teachers expressed clear needs for professional development to effectively integrate generative AI into their teaching practices. Table 4 summarizes the specific professional development needs identified by participants.

Table 4. Professional Development Needs for Generative AI Integration (N=45)

Professional Development Need	Frequency	Percentage
Technical skills for using AI tools effectively	39	86.7%
Pedagogical strategies for AI integration	36	80.0%
Evaluating AI-generated content for accuracy	33	73.3%
Addressing ethical issues and academic integrity	31	68.9%
Designing AI-enhanced assessments	27	60.0%
Understanding AI capabilities and limitations	25	55.6%
Promoting critical thinking alongside AI use	23	51.1%
Equity and access considerations	19	42.2%

The most frequently mentioned need was for technical skills training (86.7%), with teachers wanting hands-on experience with various AI tools and practical guidance on their features and functions (Wardat et al., 2025). That said, teachers emphasized that technical training alone was insufficient; they also needed pedagogical strategies for meaningful AI integration (80.0%). A primary school teacher stated: "I can learn to use the technology, but I need help understanding when and how to use it in ways that actually improve student learning" (Echave et al., 2024).

Teachers also expressed strong needs for training on evaluating AI-generated content for accuracy and appropriateness (73.3%), addressing ethical issues and academic integrity concerns (68.9%), and designing assessments that remain valid in an AI-enhanced environment (60.0%). Several teachers mentioned wanting to better understand AI's capabilities and limitations (55.6%) to set realistic expectations and use tools appropriately. One teacher emphasized: "We need ongoing professional development, not just a one-time workshop. This technology is evolving so quickly" (Yue et al., 2025).

3.5. Theme 5: Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns

Ethical considerations and academic integrity concerns emerged as a major theme, with teachers expressing complex and sometimes conflicting views about AI's impact on learning and assessment. Table 5 presents the specific ethical concerns mentioned by participants.

Table 5. Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns (N=45)

Ethical Concern	Frequency	Percentage
Student plagiarism and cheating	40	88.9%
Difficulty detecting AI-generated work	35	77.8%
Undermining authentic learning	32	71.1%
Bias and fairness in AI outputs	28	62.2%
Privacy and data security	24	53.3%
Transparency about AI use	22	48.9%
Devaluing human creativity and thinking	20	44.4%
Unequal access creating advantages	18	40.0%

Student plagiarism and cheating were the most prominent ethical concerns (88.9%), with teachers worried that AI makes it too easy for students to submit work that is not their own (Sagocsoc et al., 2025). A high school history teacher expressed: "How can I know if an essay was written by the student or by ChatGPT? Traditional plagiarism detection doesn't work anymore." The difficulty of detecting AI-generated work (77.8%) compounded these concerns, leaving teachers feeling uncertain about the authenticity of student submissions (Wang, 2024).

Many teachers worried that AI use might undermine authentic learning (71.1%), with students receiving answers without engaging in the cognitive processes necessary for deep understanding. Concerns about bias and fairness in AI outputs were mentioned by 62.2% of participants, who worried about perpetuating stereotypes or providing culturally inappropriate content (Pettersson et al., 2024). Privacy and data security concerns (53.3%) were also raised, particularly regarding student information being processed by commercial AI systems. Teachers emphasized the need for transparency about AI use (48.9%), with clear expectations about when and how students should disclose AI assistance (Ututalum, 2025).

3.6. Theme 6: Future Integration Perspectives

Teachers expressed diverse perspectives on the future role of generative AI in education, ranging from enthusiastic optimism to cautious skepticism. Table 6 summarizes teachers' views on future AI integration.

Table 6. Perspectives on Future Generative AI Integration (N=45)

Future Perspective	Frequency	Percentage
AI will become essential teaching tool	29	64.4%
Need for balanced human-AI collaboration	38	84.4%
Curriculum and assessment must adapt	33	73.3%
Teacher role will evolve, not disappear	35	77.8%
Institutional policies are critical	31	68.9%
Student AI literacy is essential	27	60.0%
Cautious, gradual implementation preferred	25	55.6%
Concerns about widening achievement gaps	21	46.7%

While 64.4% of teachers believed generative AI would become an essential teaching tool, the overwhelming majority (84.4%) emphasized the need for balanced human-AI collaboration rather than AI replacement of human teaching (Ellis et al., 2025). A middle school teacher articulated this view: "AI should be a tool that enhances what we do, not something that replaces the human connection and judgment that are essential to good teaching." Many teachers (73.3%) recognized that curriculum and assessment practices would need to adapt to AI's presence, with traditional assignments potentially becoming obsolete (Kim, 2025).

Teachers generally believed their role would evolve rather than disappear (77.8%), with increased emphasis on facilitating critical thinking, creativity, and ethical reasoning that AI cannot replicate. The importance of institutional policies was stressed by 68.9% of participants, who wanted clear guidelines about appropriate AI use for both teachers and students (Majeed et al., 2024). Teachers also emphasized the need to develop students' AI literacy (60.0%), teaching them to use these tools responsibly and critically. Many teachers (55.6%) preferred cautious, gradual implementation with ongoing evaluation rather than rapid, wholesale adoption. Some

teachers (46.7%) expressed concerns that AI might widen achievement gaps if access and support were not equitably distributed (Chung et al., 2024).

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal a complex landscape of teachers' perceptions regarding generative AI in education, characterized by recognition of significant opportunities alongside substantial concerns and uncertainties. This discussion situates the findings within existing literature and explores their implications for educational practice and policy.

Teachers' strong recognition of personalized learning opportunities aligns with previous research highlighting AI's potential for differentiation and individualized instruction (Mollick & Mollick, 2023). The high percentage of teachers (84.4%) identifying personalization as a key benefit suggests that educators understand AI's capacity to address one of education's most persistent challenges. That said, the gap between perceived potential and actual implementation indicates that realizing this potential requires more than technological availability. This finding echoes Soleimani et al. (2025), who found that positive attitudes toward AI do not automatically translate into effective classroom integration without adequate support and pedagogical guidance.

The prominence of academic integrity concerns (91.1%) reflects a central tension in current educational discourse about generative AI. Teachers' worries about plagiarism and cheating are well-founded, as research has documented students' use of AI to complete assignments without genuine learning (Cotton et al., 2023). That said, these concerns also reflect a need to reconceptualize assessment practices in an AI-enhanced environment. As Rudolph et al. (2023) argue, rather than attempting to prevent AI use through detection and prohibition, educators might better serve students by redesigning assessments to emphasize higher-order thinking, creativity, and authentic application that AI cannot easily replicate.

The widespread lack of adequate teacher training (75.6%) represents a critical barrier to effective AI integration and aligns with findings from multiple international studies (Moorhouse et al., 2024; Wardat et al., 2025). Teachers' emphasis on needing both technical skills and pedagogical strategies reflects a sophisticated understanding that technology integration requires more than operational competence. This finding supports the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, which emphasizes the intersection of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge in effective teaching (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). Professional development programs must address all three domains, helping teachers understand not just how to use AI tools, but when, why, and in what ways they enhance specific learning objectives.

Teachers' emphasis on balanced human-AI collaboration (84.4%) rather than AI replacement reflects a mature understanding of technology's role in education. This perspective aligns with research emphasizing that effective educational technology augments rather than replaces human teaching (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). The finding that 77.8% of teachers believe their role will evolve rather than disappear suggests resilience and adaptability in the teaching profession. The ethical concerns teachers raised, particularly regarding bias, privacy, and equity, reflect growing awareness of AI's societal implications (Selwyn, 2019). The finding that 57.8% of teachers identified digital divide and equity issues as barriers underscores the need for implementation strategies that prioritize equitable access and culturally responsive AI use.

5. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

This qualitative study investigated teachers' perceptions of generative AI in education through semi-structured interviews with 45 teachers across primary, secondary, and high school levels. The research identified six major themes: Perceived Opportunities and Benefits, Challenges and Barriers to Implementation, Current Classroom Applications, Professional Development Needs, Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns, and Future Integration Perspectives.

Teachers identified numerous opportunities associated with generative AI, most prominently personalized learning and differentiation (84.4%), time-saving in lesson planning (77.8%), and enhanced content creation (73.3%). That said, teachers also expressed significant concerns, particularly regarding academic integrity and plagiarism (91.1%), student over-reliance and reduced critical thinking (82.2%), and inadequate teacher training (75.6%). Current classroom applications focus primarily on teacher tasks such as lesson planning (71.1%), creating practice questions (62.2%), and generating examples and explanations (60.0%), while student-centered AI applications remain underutilized. Teachers expressed clear professional development needs, particularly for technical skills (86.7%), pedagogical strategies (80.0%), and guidance on evaluating AI-generated content (73.3%).

Several important conclusions emerge from this research. First, successful generative AI integration requires more than technological access; it demands comprehensive professional development, clear institutional policies, and pedagogical innovation. Second, teachers' perspectives are diverse and context-dependent, suggesting that flexible frameworks allowing local adaptation are preferable to uniform implementation approaches. Third, teachers are thoughtful, critical consumers of educational technology whose concerns about academic integrity, critical thinking, and equity should inform implementation strategies rather than be dismissed as resistance to change. Finally, the emphasis on balanced human-AI collaboration suggests that the future of education lies in teachers effectively leveraging AI as one powerful tool within their broader pedagogical repertoire, rather than AI replacing the irreplaceable human dimensions of teaching and learning.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1. Practical Recommendations

1. Develop Comprehensive Professional Development Programs: Educational institutions should create multi-tiered, ongoing professional development programs addressing both technical skills and pedagogical strategies for generative AI integration, providing teachers with opportunities for hands-on practice and peer collaboration.
2. Establish Clear Institutional Policies and Guidelines: Schools and districts should develop explicit policies regarding appropriate uses of generative AI for both teachers and students, addressing academic integrity expectations, privacy protections, acceptable use cases, and disclosure requirements.
3. Redesign Assessment Practices: Educational institutions should systematically revise assessment practices to emphasize higher-order thinking, creativity, and authentic application that AI cannot easily replicate, including performance-based assessments, portfolios, and projects.
4. Create AI Literacy Curricula: Schools should integrate AI literacy across grade levels, teaching students to evaluate AI outputs critically, understand AI limitations, and use AI ethically and responsibly, including explicit instruction in academic integrity.
5. Ensure Equitable Access and Establish Teacher Learning Communities: Educational institutions must address digital divide issues by ensuring equitable access to technology and AI tools, while also facilitating professional learning communities where teachers can share experiences and successful integration strategies.

6.2. Recommendations for Future Research

1. Longitudinal Studies of AI Integration: Future research should track teachers' perceptions, practices, and student outcomes as AI integration evolves over time, providing insights into how perceptions change with experience and what factors predict successful long-term integration.
2. Comparative and Cross-Cultural Studies: Research should compare teachers' perceptions and AI integration practices across different cultural, educational, and socioeconomic contexts to understand how contextual factors influence AI adoption and effectiveness.
3. Student Perspectives and Learning Outcomes: Future research should investigate students' perspectives on AI use in education and examine relationships between AI integration and student learning outcomes, engagement, and skill development across different educational levels.
4. Assessment Validity and Equity in AI-Enhanced Environments: Research should investigate how traditional assessment practices perform in AI-enhanced environments and examine how AI integration affects educational equity, developing strategies to ensure equitable access and benefit across diverse student populations.
5. Teacher Role Evolution and Ethical Frameworks: Future studies should investigate how teachers' roles evolve as AI becomes more prevalent, examining new competencies needed and developing comprehensive ethical frameworks addressing privacy, bias, transparency, and accountability in educational AI use.

REFERENCES

Baidoo-Anu, D., & Owusu Ansah, L. (2023). Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. Journal of AI, 7(1), 52-62. https://doi.org/10.61969/jai.1337500

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Cabellos, B., Ruiz-Robledillo, N., Ferrer-Cascales, R., & Albaladejo-Blázquez, N. (2024). University teachers' beliefs about the use of generative artificial intelligence for teaching and learning. Frontiers in Psychology, 15, Article 1468900. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1468900

Celik, I. (2023). Towards intelligent-TPACK: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. Computers in Human Behavior, 138, Article 107468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468

Chung, M., Ko, E., & Kang, H. (2024). Exploring the perceptions of Chinese pre-service teachers on the integration of generative AI in English language teaching: Benefits, challenges, and educational implications. Education and Information Technologies. Advance online publication.

Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2023). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 61(2), 228-239. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20(1), Article 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8

Echave, M. B., Echave, M. A., & Echave, M. C. (2024). Embracing generative AI in education: Exploring teachers' perceptions, practices, and potential impact. Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia Gemilang, 4(2), 123-135. https://doi.org/10.53889/jpig.v4i2.458

Ellis, R. A., Bliuc, A. M., & Goodyear, P. (2025). Qualitatively different teacher experiences of teaching with generative artificial intelligence. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 22(1), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-025-00532-2

Escario, I., Rodríguez-Sánchez, J. L., & Valero-Gil, J. (2024). Impulsando la Educación Superior con IAGen: oportunidades y retos para docentes. REDU: Revista de Docencia Universitaria, 22(2), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.4995/redu.2024.22065

Javahery, H., Saeli, M., & Khoshsima, H. (2025). Exploring Iranian novice EFL trainees' perceptions of ChatGPT use for lesson planning through a critical digital literacy lens. Information Retrieval Journal, 28(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10791-025-09720-0

Kaplan-Rakowski, R., Grotewold, K., Hartwick, P., & Papin, K. (2024). Generative AI and teachers' perspectives on its implementation in education. Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 35(3), 443-470.

Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günnemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., … Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, Article 102274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274

Kim, J. (2025). Perceptions and preparedness of K-12 educators in adopting generative AI. Research in Learning Technology, 33, Article 3448. https://doi.org/10.25304/rlt.v33.3448

Kim, N. J., Belland, B. R., & Axelrod, D. (2022). Teacher's perceptions of using an artificial intelligence-based educational tool for scientific writing. Frontiers in Education, 7, Article 755914. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.755914

Lim, W. M., Gunasekara, A., Pallant, J. L., Pallant, J. I., & Pechenkina, E. (2023). Generative AI and the future of education: Ragnarök or reformation? A paradoxical perspective from management educators. International Journal of Management Education, 21(2), Article 100790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100790

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. SAGE Publications.

Majeed, A., Rana, S., & Khurshid, K. (2024). Role of generative artificial intelligence (Gen AI) in academia: University teachers' perspective within Pakistani higher education context. Research Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5016419/v1

McHugh, M. L. (2012). Interrater reliability: The kappa statistic. Biochemia Medica, 22(3), 276-282. https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2012.031

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Ministry of National Education. (2023). Digital transformation in education: 2023-2027 strategic plan. Republic of Turkey Ministry of National Education.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x

Mollick, E. R., & Mollick, L. (2023). Using AI to implement effective teaching strategies in classrooms: Five strategies, including prompts. The Wharton School Research Paper. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4391243

Moorhouse, B. L., Yeo, M. A., & Wan, Y. (2024). The effects of generative AI on initial language teacher education: The perceptions of teacher educators. System, 123, Article 103290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2024.103290

Munawar, S., Noor, S., & Ehsan, N. (2024). ChatGPT in classrooms: Transforming challenges into opportunities in education. arXiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2405.10645

Nyaaba, M. (2024). Transforming teacher education in developing countries: The role of generative AI in bridging theory and practice. arXiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2411.10718

Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42(5), 533-544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Pettersson, F., Olofsson, A. D., & Lindberg, J. O. (2024). Generative AI and teachers - For us or against us? A case study. Linköping Electronic Conference Proceedings, 208, 35-44. https://doi.org/10.3384/ecp208005

Rasool, S. F., Samma, M., Wang, M., Anjum, A., & Iqbal, J. (2025). Perceptions of generative AI in teaching and learning: UTAUT and TPACK model-based study of teachers and students. Acta Psychologica, 253, Article 105827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105827

Reis-Andersson, A. (2024). AI generative in Brazil's public schools: The teachers' perspective. Proceedings of the International Conference on AI Research, 4(1), 234-248. https://doi.org/10.34190/icair.4.1.3219

Rudolph, J., Tan, S., & Tan, S. (2023). ChatGPT: Bullshit spewer or the end of traditional assessments in higher education? Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching, 6(1), 342-363. https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.9

Ruediger, D., Scherer, J., & Goben, A. (2024). Generative AI and postsecondary instructional practices: Findings from a national survey of instructors. EDUCAUSE Research Report. https://doi.org/10.18665/sr.320892

Sagocsoc, J. M., Sagocsoc, J. R., & Sagocsoc, M. T. (2025). Pros and cons of generative artificial intelligence in teaching-learning process: A sequential explanatory design. Premier Education and Management Journal, 1(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.70838/pemj.450705

Saud, M. S. (2025). Generative AI in English language teaching: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Journalism and Mass Communication, 2(1), 45-58. https://doi.org/10.3126/jjmc2.v1i1.81437

Selwyn, N. (2019). Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education. Polity Press.

Soleimani, E., Ismail, L., & Mattar, J. (2025). Educators' perceptions of generative AI: Investigating attitudes, barriers and learning needs in higher education. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 62(3), 456-471. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2025.2530767

Tongchai, A., Suwannatthachote, P., & Nilsook, P. (2024). Thai teachers' perceptions of integrating generative AI in K-12 education: Opportunities and challenges. International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 14(8), 1089-1098.

Ututalum, C. (2025). Transformative potential of generative AI in higher education teaching practices. Environment and Social Psychology, 10(9), 2156-2170. https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i9.3291

Van Manen, M. (2016). Researching lived experience: Human science for an action sensitive pedagogy (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Wang, L. (2024). Exploring teachers' perceptions of generative AI (ChatGPT) use on undergraduate teaching in EMI context: A literature review. Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media, 17, 234-245. https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/2024.bo17885

Wardat, Y., Tashtoush, M. A., AlAli, R., & Jarrah, A. M. (2025). Teachers' use of generative AI in Jordanian universities: Practices and perceptions. Forum for Linguistic Studies, 7(6), 2345-2361. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i6.9644

Yılmaz, A., & Kaya, M. (2024). Digital transformation in Turkish education: Challenges and opportunities. Turkish Journal of Educational Technology, 24(3), 112-128.

Yue, M., Jong, M. S. Y., & Dai, Y. (2025). Obstacles or opportunities: Teachers' concerns about adopting generative AI in learning and teaching. Proceedings of the 2025 International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies, 512-516. https://doi.org/10.1109/icalt64023.2025.00084

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0